Solving the 1D Richards Equation by Finite-Difference Approximation

Start with continuity:

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = C(h)\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial q}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left[-k\frac{\partial h}{\partial z} - k\right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left[k\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}\right] + \frac{\partial k}{\partial z}$$

For vertical flux (z positive upwards), Darcy gives:

Darcy gives:

$$C(h)\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[K(h) \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial z} + 1 \right) \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[K(h) \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} + K'(h) \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} \right]$$

2

Introduce finite-difference approximation $(\partial \rightarrow \Delta)$:

$$C(h) \frac{\Delta h}{\Delta t} = -\frac{\Delta q}{\Delta z}$$

For numerical stability, C and q will be evaluated at the **end of the time step**, i.e., at 'j+1' ("fully implicit method"). Use notation $C_{i,j+1}$ for $C(h_{i,j+1})$ etc.

$$C_{i,j+1} \frac{h_{i,j+1} - h_{i,j}}{\Delta t} = -\frac{q_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+1} - q_{i-\frac{1}{2},j+1}}{\Delta z}$$

Substitute Darcy, define K' = $\frac{\partial K}{\partial h}$ (analytically obtained):

$$C_{i,j+1} \frac{h_{i,j+1} - h_{i,j}}{\Delta t} = \frac{K_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+1} \frac{h_{i+1,j+1} - h_{i,j+1}}{\Delta z} - K_{i-\frac{1}{2},j+1} \frac{h_{i,j+1} - h_{i-1,j+1}}{\Delta z}}{\Delta z} + K_{i,j+1}^{*} \frac{h_{i+1,j+1} - h_{i-\frac{1}{2},j+1}}{2\Delta z} + K_{i,j+1}^{*} \frac{h_{i+1,j+1} - h_{i-\frac{1}{2},j+1}}{2\Delta z} + K_{i,j+1}^{*} \frac{h_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+1} - h_{i-\frac{1}{2},j+1}}{2\Delta z} + K_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+1}^{*} \frac{h_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+1} - h_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+1}}{2\Delta z} + K_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+1}^{*} \frac{h_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+1}}{2\Delta z} + K_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+1}^{*} \frac{h_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+1}}{2} + K_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+1}^{*} \frac{h_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+1}}{2} + K_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+1}^{*} \frac{h_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+1}}{2} + K_{i+\frac{$$

Expand $K_{i+\frac{1}{2},j+1}$ and $K_{i-\frac{1}{2},j+1}$:

$$C_{i,j+1} \frac{h_{i,j+1} - h_{i,j}}{\Delta t} = \frac{\frac{K_{i,j+1} + K_{i+1,j+1}}{2} \frac{h_{i+1,j+1} - h_{i,j+1}}{\Delta z} - \frac{K_{i-1,j+1} + K_{i,j+1}}{2} \frac{h_{i,j+1} - h_{i-1,j+1}}{\Delta z}}{\Delta z} + K'_{i,j+1} \frac{h_{i+1,j+1} - h_{i-1,j+1}}{2\Delta z} \bigvee$$

16

Serfare

i #1/2

A | A2

______ i **+**1/2

Now re-arrange:

$$\begin{split} h_{i,j} & - \underbrace{C_{i,j+1}}{\Delta t} = h_{i-1,j+1} \left(\frac{K_{i-1,j+1} + K_{i,j+1}}{2(\Delta z)^2} - \frac{K'_{i,j+1}}{2\Delta z} \right) + \\ & h_{i,j+1} \left(- \frac{K_{i,j+1} + K_{i+1,j+1}}{2(\Delta z)^2} - \frac{K_{i-1,j+1} + K_{i,j+1}}{2(\Delta z)^2} - \frac{C_{i,j+1}}{\Delta t} \right) + \\ & h_{i+1,j+1} \left(\frac{K_{i,j+1} + K_{i+1,j+1}}{2(\Delta z)^2} + \frac{K'_{i,j+1}}{2\Delta z} \right) \end{split}$$

Defining

we can write the equation for an *internal* node 'i' as follows:

$$a_i h_{i-1,j+1} + b_i h_{i,j+1} + c_i h_{i+1,j+1} = f_i$$

6

Thus, for this type of approximation, the h-value at spatial node 'i' at time 'j+1' depends only on the two nearest neighbors, 'i-1' and 'i+1,' as well as on $h_{i,j}$. In matrix notation, we have for a five-node system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_{1} & c_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{2} & b_{2} & c_{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{3} & b_{3} & c_{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{4} & b_{4} & c_{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{5} & b_{5} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_{1,j+1} \\ h_{2,j+1} \\ h_{3,j+1} \\ h_{4,j+1} \\ h_{5,j+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{1} \\ f_{2} \\ f_{3} \\ f_{4} \\ f_{5} \end{bmatrix}$$

Obviously, b_1 , c_1 , f_1 and a_5 , b_5 , f_5 have to obtained from the *boundary conditions* of the particular problem.

17

And remate & matix equations for i=2 and 4: using [16] (Side agride) 1=2: a2 hijt + b2 h2 j+1 + 52 h3 j+1 = f2 $52 \quad b_2 \quad h_{2j+1} \quad + \quad (2 \quad h_{3j+1} = f_2 - a_2 \quad h_{1j+1} \quad k \quad bomaan \\ candu \quad \lambda m.s.$ <u>l=4</u> ay hzj+1 + by hyj+1 = fy- cyhsj+1 Yields. $\begin{bmatrix} b_2 & c_2 & 0 \\ a_3 & b_3 & c_3 \\ 0 & a_4 & b_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_2 \\ h_3 \\ h_4 \end{bmatrix}_{j+1} = \begin{bmatrix} f_2 - a_2 & h_{ij+1} \\ f_3 & f_3 \\ f_4 & f_5 \\ f_{4j} & f_{ij+1} \\ f_{4j} & f_{ij} \\ f_{$ this if h boundary conditions at both ends Than [N-2] equations and unknowns, Fourthbo where Nis total # of nodes. [Dirichlet boundary condition] However, alternatively one can define flux boundary condition, i.e., $q = -k/h \frac{dH}{dz}$. \implies Neumann b.c

Fluxb.c: [Neumann b-c-] × Assign fictitious gridpoints: ho and6. X 2 From [16] 3 a: hi-ij+i +bi hij+i + C hi+ij+i = di hij × 6 $a_{1}h_{0j+1} + b_{j}h_{jj+1} + c_{j}h_{2j+1} = d_{j}h_{jj}$ e`= 1 [16] i=5 as hyjti + bs hsjiti + cs htjiti = ds hsj Now 7 unknown's [the Ahryth ha] and 5 equation's central differne approx. $\frac{D_{avg} e p a kan'}{f v i = 1} \quad \begin{array}{c} q_{ij+1} = -K_{ij+1} \int \frac{h_{2j+1} - h_{0j+1}}{262} + 1 \end{array}$ $\frac{\gamma_{ij+1}}{K_{ij+1}} \neq 1 = \left(\frac{h_{2j+1} - h_{0j+1}}{202}\right)$ Thus, bo is determined that that flux through injoce is express to preserved this be

19

1.e. Chech for $q_1 = 0$ AbarAut A sox 2 Hen $h_0 = -h_2 + 262 \longrightarrow$ yields $q_1 = 0$ 04. 3 Substate result in [16] for i=1 a, [h2j+1+Fllut] + b, h, j+1 + C, h2j+1= d, hij $or: \implies b_i h_{ij+1} + [a_i + c_i] h_{2j+1} = d_i h_{ij} - a_i + FLUT. [i7]$ Similarly for bottom with i=5 95 j+1 = -K_5 j+1 / h6j+1 - h4j+1 +1] hbj+1 - huj+1 = - 202 [Ksj+1 +1] = FLUB heit = huit + FLUB. Subvitati in [16] for i=5. 95 hyjti + bs hsjiti + 65 [hyjti + FLUB] = ds hsj or $[a_5 + c_5] h_{4j+1} + b_5 h_{5j+1} = d_5 h_{5j} - c_5 FLUB.$ [18] set ande

Now new madrio solution

 $\begin{pmatrix} a_{1}+q \\ b_{2} \\ c_{2} \\ a_{3} \\ c_{3} \\ c_{4} \\ c_{5} \\ c_{5} \\ c_{5} \\ c_{5} \\ c_{5} \\ c_{7} \\$ 6 $|a_2|$ 0 0 [19] Ö (Jalse) but 5 Fickhows gods is convenient way to represent flear be, Compannia to espens h, or ho from 2 inner podes and flux b.c. => 3 point - finile difference approx. See Vernin & Korphus, 1988; page 96. Expand both by and 3 around by

4. $b_2 = 4.h$, $+4.\frac{dh}{dz} = 4.\frac{d^2h}{dz^2} = \frac{62l^2}{2} + -\frac{1}{4}$ $h_3 = h_1 + \frac{dh}{dz} (202) + \frac{d^2h}{dz^2} (202)^2 + ---$

22 1 Use [19] for both Neumann (flux) and Dirichlet (head) boundary condition, Allow [19] for head b.c. : b, =\$ 1 Jet: $a_t + c_1 = \emptyset$ dih, - a, FLUT = UTOP. $q_2 = \phi$ Set bottom row: $a_5+c_5=\emptyset$ b5=1 dshj-C5 FLUB= UBOT $C_{4=}\phi$

Now: same matix as Eq [17]

Single Matrix for both boundary conditions NELMANN dihi - a, FLUT 61 (α_1+c_1) b, 0 0 b_2 f_2 h2 0 a2 0 C2 f3 hz a3 OX 63 C3 0 hy Cy 0 0 by ay 6 0 (a_5+G) b5 0 h5 dshr - GFFLUB DIRICHLET

UTOP h, ø 0 0 $f_2 - a_2 u T O f$ 62 0 0 h2 C2 63 az f3 C bz C3 0 K 17 0 by hy. ay 0 0 Cy UBOT hs 0 0 1 0 UBOT -j+1

From aihinisti +bihisti + Cihitisti = fi Lib i=1,..., 5 91=0 5=0

Tridiaporcel Algenth A = b ? $A = L \cdot U$ $L, U \cdot X = b$ $A = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & c_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \\ 0 & a_n & b_n \end{bmatrix}$ L.U=Azsolve to get di and Bi of L and U. $L = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ a_2 & d_2 \\ 0 \\ a_n & d_n \end{bmatrix}$ $\alpha_1 \neq 1 = b_1 \longrightarrow \alpha_1 = b_1$ $\alpha_1 * \beta_1 = C_1 \rightarrow \beta_1 = \frac{c_1}{\alpha_1}$ $a_2 \star \beta_1 + a_2 \star l = b_2 \rightarrow a_2 = - -$ $q_2 * 0 + q_2 * \beta_2 = c_2 \rightarrow \beta_2 = c_2$ In General: $\alpha_1 = b_1$ and $\beta_1 = \frac{C_1}{\alpha_1}$ $\alpha_i = b_i - a_i \# \beta_{i-1}$ $B_i = \frac{C_i}{\alpha_i}$ for i= 2, ..., N:

Tridiagonal or Thomas Algor. Thm.
Solve AX=b, where A= tridiagonal. and bis known.
Set A=L.U where L: Lower diagonal matrix U: Upper diagonal matrix.
L.U.X=b Let y=UX and Jolve Ly=b. Solve for [y] first, then solve for [x].
$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{1} & 0 \\ a_{2} & \alpha_{2} \\ 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \vdots \\ a_{n} & \alpha_{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_{1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ b_{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{1}y_{1} = b_{1} \rightarrow y_{1} = a_{1} \\ \alpha_{2}y_{1} + \alpha_{2}y_{2} = b_{2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{2} = \frac{b_{2} - a_{2}y_{1}}{\alpha_{2}} \\ y_{1} = \frac{b_{1} - a_{2}y_{1}}{\alpha_{2}} \\ y_{1} = \frac{b_{1} - a_{1}y_{1} - 1}{\alpha_{1}} \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & B_{1} \\ 1 & B_{2} \\ \vdots \\ $

Richards' Equation Flow Charts and Mass Balance Calculations:

In solving Eq. [21], a_i , b_i , and c_i , that include C_i , K_i , as a function of h, are at (j+1) time level. So, although they are in the coefficient matrix, their true values at (j+1) are unknown. How to solve???

At beginning of new time step, $t + \Delta t$, values of K_{ij} and C_{ij} are substituted for K_{ij+1} and C_{ij+1} . The coefficient matrix is solved iteratively, until differences between h_{ij+1}^{k+1} and h_{ij+1}^{k} are smaller than error criterion, ε (EPS). Realize that superscript (k) denotes the iteration number. This method is called the PICARD ITERATION.



Define relative error between iterations as:

$$ERR = \max_{i=1,..,N} \left| \frac{h_{ij+1}^{(k+1)} - h_{ij+1}^{k}}{h_{ij+1}^{(k+1)}} \right|$$

If ERR > ε , Re-iterate If ERR < ε , OK, and h_{ij+1}^{k+1} is the solution. In ONEDIM, $\varepsilon = \text{ERR} = 0.001$

Program ONEDIM limits the nr of iterations NC to 50. If NC > 50, reduce time step by one half, and start over.

So, how about time step size $\Delta t = DT$ (in ONEDIM): This is done using mass balance calculations:

MASS BALANCE CALCUATIONS in ONEDIM



 $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial q}{\partial z}$, for the complete soil domain. That is, between the surface and bottom boundary. $d\theta \ dz = -dq \ \partial t \qquad or \qquad \int \Delta \theta dz = -\int \Delta q \ dt$ $\Delta \theta = \theta_{i,j+1} - \theta_{i,j} \qquad and \qquad \Delta q = q_{in} - q_{out} = \hat{q}_1 - \hat{q}_n$

DELMO =
$$\int \Delta \theta \, dz = \int_{DEPTH}^{0} (\theta_{i,j+1} - \theta_{i,j}) dz$$

$$\text{DELFLU} = -\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (q_{in} - q_{out}) dt$$

SO:

Absolute mass balance, EMB = DELMO – DELFLU Relative mass balance, REMB = EMB/DELFLU

Now:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{If EMB} > \mbox{DEL} \quad (\mbox{DEL}=0.001) \\ \mbox{Decrease } \Delta t: \ \Delta t = 0.5 \Delta t \\ \mbox{Start over again, i.e., reject } h_{i,j+1} \mbox{ and start re-iterating.} \end{array}$

IF EMB < (0.1xDEL) Increase Δt : $\Delta t = 1.5\Delta t$ Now advance in time.

IF 0.1DEL < EMB < DELAdvance in time, But do not change Δt